

## Equality Impact Assessment

This form is intended to help you carry out an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA). An EIA is a useful tool for reviewing policies and processes to identify how they impact on people. As such it is a valuable part of the process of policy development and process improvement.

EIA is required to help the University comply with our legal obligations under the Equality Act, including our 'Public Sector Equality Duty' to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation; advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and people who do not share it.

Throughout this form the word 'Policy' is used to cover written policies, procedures, guidance strategies, services, activities, practices, projects, processes, actions, functions, criteria or decision making.

The meaning of "impact" in this context is how the policy, process or proposed action may affect people from diverse backgrounds either adversely or beneficially. This includes both direct effects and any indirect consequence of the policy. This is to ensure that the policy or process is not discriminatory and is making a positive contribution to the University's duty to eliminate discrimination and advance equality of opportunity.

All new Policies must be assessed as well as any existing polices and processes that are being changed or re-designed. EIAs will be published, or available for scrutiny, so it is important that you have a good record of your considerations.

It is recommended that you visit our online training on Impact Assessment before you undertake this exercise which can be found at: <a href="http://www.ed.ac.uk/schools-departments/equality-diversity/training-resources/e-diversity-training">http://www.ed.ac.uk/schools-departments/equality-diversity/training-resources/e-diversity-training</a>

The EIA form is in three parts:

Part 1 is an overview of the Policy, who it affects and who is responsible.

**Part 2** is an Initial Equality Impact Assessment. This should be carried out if you are unsure whether a Full EIA will be required, or if you think it is unlikely to be needed. It will enable you to assess the possible impact of the policy on those within the protected characteristic groups with a view to ascertaining whether a full assessment is required.

**Part 3** is a "full" impact assessment, which should be carried out if you believe from the outset that there is a need for in-depth consideration of the Policy's equality impact, e.g. where the policy has a significant impact on large numbers of people, or where a full EIA is indicated by the Initial Equality Impact Assessment in Part 2.

For assistance if required, including requesting this document in an alternative format, please contact Equality and Diversity at 650 6303 or e-mail <u>equalitydiversity@ed.ac.uk</u>

Part 1 - Policy Overview and Responsibilities				
-	Please describe briefly the Policy being assessed: <i>Guidance and Support To Students: A Framework</i> of Proposals for an Enhanced Approach			
Is this Policy : Existing: 🗌	New: $$	Being updated or changed $\square$		
A wide-spread Enhancing Stude	nt Support proje	duced or changed or an action is being undertaken. ect, which includes replacing the DoS system with the Persona provide a consistent level of student support across the		
committee. Staff: Consultation with academi Management: Project committee Who will be affected by this Pe In the first year of implementatio	ips of students a c and support s led by senior s olicy? (e.g. sta n will primarily a	eir contribution to the Policy. and EUSA involvement on working groups and the project staff in Schools and central services. staff. Project delegated by the Principal. iff, students, only women, visitors, disabled people) affect all undergraduate students, who will have Personal e introduction of new support arrangements, IT tools and		

resource materials. From 2012/13, the new PT system will be developed for postgraduate and postgraduate research students.

Staff: Directly those carrying out specific roles within the scheme and central areas such as Student Services. Indirectly the scheme will impact on all staff engaged in the delivery of teaching, support for teaching or student support.

## Please explain any potential risks (of any kind) either from implementing or failing to implement the Policy

Implementing: Staff dissatisfaction in regards to workload and/or recognition.

Failing to implement: Student dissatisfaction levels in some areas will remain at the same level, or deteriorate further. Poor publicity and impact on attracting students.

Initial EIA undertaken by: Brian Connelly, Academic Services and Lindsey Miller, Senior HR Partner, UHRS (for the Personal Tutoring aspect) and Sara Welham, Academic Services, for the Framework	Date: 14 May 2012 and 1 August 2012		
Full EIA undertaken by: N/A	Date:		
On behalf of: (Title and/or Dept):			
<b>EIA signed off by Line Manager/Head of</b> <b>Department :</b> Professor Ian Pirie, Assistant Principal Learning and Development	Date: 1 August 2012		

Can this EIA be published? Yes:  $\sqrt{No}$ :  $\Box$  If no, please indicate which of the reason below would justify it not being published.

Its disclosure would substantially prejudice a programme of research	Its disclosure would substantially prejudice the effective conduct of public affairs	
Its disclosure would substantially prejudice the commercial interests of any person or organisation	Its disclosure would constitute a breach of confidence actionable in court	

Its disclosure would const the Data Protection Act	itute a breach of		hin terms of Fol le ve further details)	gislation
F	Part 2 - Initial Equa	ality Impact A	ssessment	l
Using the table below, groups and provide an help the University mee	explanation. Please	also consider w		
Consider what informating impact e.g. feedback fro evidence/benchmarking; http://www.ed.ac.uk/school If you know at the outset Policy has a significant in without completing Part 2	m stakeholders, releva expert advice. Universions-departments/equants that you want to carry npact on a large numb	ant staff/students sity wide staff and <u>lity-diversity/mon</u> out a full impact	data; external d student data is a <u>itoring-statistics/c</u> assessment, e.g.	available at: overview because the
Protected Characteristic Groups	Is there likely to be a positive or negative impact on any of the groups. Is this likely to be significant or minor? Provide an explanation of this assessment	Is there an opportunity to reduce discriminatio n for any of the protected groups?	equality of opportunity for any of the protected	Is there an opportunity to foster good relations between people in a protected group and those who are not?
Race (including ethnic origin and nationality)	Positive in terms of nationality as provides formal opportunity for overseas students to raise any issues relating to isolation.	Potentially if all staff undertaking roles are required to complete E&D training.	Not directly but	Yes, one of the aims is to foster a sense of belonging and common identity.
Sex	For staff consider gender balance of those undertaking roles and whether participation has	As above.	As above.	As above.

No impact identified		(e.g. Low : No further EIA action required for 3 years)			
INITIAL EIA OUTCOME Mark X below as appropriate		What level of priority would you give this Policy and what other action will be taken?			
Marriage or Civil Partnership	As above.		As above.	As above.	As above.
Gender Reassignment	As above.		As above.	As above.	As above.
Pregnancy/Maternity	As a	bove.	As above.	As above.	As above.
Age	For students, should be wholly positive as provides an opportunity to raise any issues.		As above.	As above.	As above.
Religion or belief	As above. May need a procedure document relating to procedures for 121 meetings and the possible need for cultural sensitivity.		As above.	As above.	As above.
Sexual Orientation		bove.	As above.	As above.	As above.
Disability	who prov	tive. students, should be ly positive as ides an opportunity ise any issues.	As above.	As above.	As above.
	barri For s	indirect link to ers to promotion. students wholly			

Beneficial impact only identified	X	Will explore how to feedback through student committees e.g. Disability Committee.	
Minor negative impact	-	No, negative impact on staff with specific protected characteristics identified, however, future monitoring should occur to assess trends particularly in relation to sex/gender.	
Potential significant negative impact (take account of the impact itself; the scale of the policy; and the potential for reputational damage)		Full EIA required as soon as possible or before the Policy is approved. Proceed to Part 3 - Full Impact Assessment	
If a Full Assessment is require	ed:		
Consider the timescale for cond Committee meetings or other de		essment, e.g. in relation to external factors such as	
Record the details of those carry	ying out the Full	EIA in Part 1.	
If no Full Assessment is requi	red:		
How will this Policy be monitored?		The roles should be reviewed after 2 years to consider impact on staff carrying them out and students. Gender pay considerations regarding staff are monitored as part of other equality work, therefore no separate monitoring required.	
When will the EIA be reviewed?		<b>Date:</b> 2 years after implementation, June/July 2014.	