

## Equality Impact Assessment

This form is intended to help you carry out an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA). An EIA is a useful tool for reviewing policies and processes to identify how they impact on people. As such it is a valuable part of the process of policy development and process improvement.

EIA is required to help the University comply with our legal obligations under the Equality Act, including our 'Public Sector Equality Duty' to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation; advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and people who do not share it.

Throughout this form the word 'Policy' is used to cover written policies, procedures, guidance strategies, services, activities, practices, projects, processes, actions, functions, criteria or decision making.

The meaning of "impact" in this context is how the policy, process or proposed action may affect people from diverse backgrounds either adversely or beneficially. This includes both direct effects and any indirect consequence of the policy. This is to ensure that the policy or process is not discriminatory and is making a positive contribution to the University's duty to eliminate discrimination and advance equality of opportunity.

All new Policies must be assessed as well as any existing polices and processes that are being changed or re-designed. EIAs will be published, or available for scrutiny, so it is important that you have a good record of your considerations.

It is recommended that you visit our online training on Impact Assessment before you undertake this exercise which can be found at: <a href="http://www.ed.ac.uk/schools-departments/equality-diversity/training-resources/e-diversity-training">http://www.ed.ac.uk/schools-departments/equality-diversity/training-resources/e-diversity-training</a>

The EIA form is in three parts:

Part 1 is an overview of the Policy, who it affects and who is responsible.

**Part 2** is an Initial Equality Impact Assessment. This should be carried out if you are unsure whether a Full EIA will be required, or if you think it is unlikely to be needed. It will enable you to assess the possible impact of the policy on those within the protected characteristic groups with a view to ascertaining whether a full assessment is required.

**Part 3** is a "full" impact assessment, which should be carried out if you believe from the outset that there is a need for in-depth consideration of the Policy's equality impact, e.g. where the policy has a significant impact on large numbers of people, or where a full EIA is indicated by the Initial Equality Impact Assessment in Part 2.

For assistance if required, including requesting this document in an alternative format, please contact Equality and Diversity at 650 6303 or e-mail <u>equalitydiversity@ed.ac.uk</u>

| Part 1 - Policy Overview and Responsibilities  |   |   |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| -  | Please describe briefly the Policy being assessed: <i>Guidance and Support To Students: A Framework</i> of Proposals for an Enhanced Approach |   |  |  |
| Is this Policy : Existing: 🗌   | New: $$   | Being updated or changed $\square$  |  |  |
| A wide-spread Enhancing Stude  | nt Support proje  | duced or changed or an action is being undertaken.<br>ect, which includes replacing the DoS system with the Persona<br>provide a consistent level of student support across the   |  |  |
| committee.<br>Staff: Consultation with academi<br>Management: Project committee<br>Who will be affected by this Pe<br>In the first year of implementatio | ips of students a<br>c and support s<br>led by senior s<br>olicy? (e.g. sta<br>n will primarily a   | eir contribution to the Policy.<br>and EUSA involvement on working groups and the project<br>staff in Schools and central services.<br>staff. Project delegated by the Principal.<br>iff, students, only women, visitors, disabled people)<br>affect all undergraduate students, who will have Personal<br>e introduction of new support arrangements, IT tools and |  |  |

resource materials. From 2012/13, the new PT system will be developed for postgraduate and postgraduate research students.

Staff: Directly those carrying out specific roles within the scheme and central areas such as Student Services. Indirectly the scheme will impact on all staff engaged in the delivery of teaching, support for teaching or student support.

## Please explain any potential risks (of any kind) either from implementing or failing to implement the Policy

Implementing: Staff dissatisfaction in regards to workload and/or recognition.

Failing to implement: Student dissatisfaction levels in some areas will remain at the same level, or deteriorate further. Poor publicity and impact on attracting students.

| Initial EIA undertaken by:<br>Brian Connelly, Academic Services and Lindsey<br>Miller, Senior HR Partner, UHRS (for the Personal<br>Tutoring aspect) and Sara Welham, Academic<br>Services, for the Framework | Date: 14 May 2012 and 1 August 2012 |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Full EIA undertaken by:<br>N/A  | Date:                               |  |  |
| On behalf of: (Title and/or Dept):  |                                     |  |  |
| <b>EIA signed off by Line Manager/Head of</b><br><b>Department :</b><br>Professor Ian Pirie, Assistant Principal Learning and<br>Development  | Date: 1 August 2012                 |  |  |

Can this EIA be published? Yes:  $\sqrt{No}$ :  $\Box$  If no, please indicate which of the reason below would justify it not being published.

| Its disclosure would substantially prejudice<br>a programme of research                                   | Its disclosure would substantially prejudice the effective conduct of public affairs |  |
|---|--|--|
| Its disclosure would substantially prejudice<br>the commercial interests of any person or<br>organisation | Its disclosure would constitute a<br>breach of confidence actionable in<br>court     |  |

| Its disclosure would const<br>the Data Protection Act   | itute a breach of   |  | hin terms of Fol le<br>ve further details)   | gislation  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| F   | Part 2 - Initial Equa   | ality Impact A   | ssessment  | l  |
| Using the table below,<br>groups and provide an<br>help the University mee  | explanation. Please   | also consider w  |  |  |
| Consider what informating impact e.g. feedback fro<br>evidence/benchmarking;<br>http://www.ed.ac.uk/school<br>If you know at the outset<br>Policy has a significant in<br>without completing Part 2 | m stakeholders, releva<br>expert advice. Universions-departments/equants<br>that you want to carry<br>npact on a large numb   | ant staff/students<br>sity wide staff and<br><u>lity-diversity/mon</u><br>out a full impact          | data; external<br>d student data is a<br><u>itoring-statistics/c</u><br>assessment, e.g. | available at:<br>overview<br>because the   |
| Protected Characteristic<br>Groups  | Is there likely to be a<br>positive or negative<br>impact on any of the<br>groups. Is this likely<br>to be significant or<br>minor? Provide an<br>explanation of this<br>assessment | Is there an<br>opportunity<br>to reduce<br>discriminatio<br>n for any of<br>the protected<br>groups? | equality of<br>opportunity<br>for any of the<br>protected                                | Is there an<br>opportunity to<br>foster good<br>relations between<br>people in a<br>protected group<br>and those who are<br>not? |
| Race (including ethnic origin and nationality)  | Positive in terms of<br>nationality as provides<br>formal opportunity for<br>overseas students to<br>raise any issues relating<br>to isolation.                                     | Potentially if all<br>staff undertaking<br>roles are<br>required to<br>complete E&D<br>training.     | Not directly but   | Yes, one of the aims is<br>to foster a sense of<br>belonging and<br>common identity.   |
| Sex   | For staff consider gender<br>balance of those<br>undertaking roles and<br>whether participation has   | As above.  | As above.  | As above.  |

| No impact identified                               |  | (e.g. Low : No further EIA action required for 3 years)                                  |           |           |           |
|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| INITIAL EIA OUTCOME Mark X below as<br>appropriate |  | What level of priority would you give this Policy and what other action will be taken?   |           |           |           |
| Marriage or Civil<br>Partnership                   | As above.  |  | As above. | As above. | As above. |
| Gender Reassignment                                | As above.  |  | As above. | As above. | As above. |
| Pregnancy/Maternity                                | As a   | bove.  | As above. | As above. | As above. |
| Age  | For students, should be<br>wholly positive as<br>provides an opportunity<br>to raise any issues.   |  | As above. | As above. | As above. |
| Religion or belief                                 | As above. May need a<br>procedure document<br>relating to procedures for<br>121 meetings and the<br>possible need for cultural<br>sensitivity. |  | As above. | As above. | As above. |
| Sexual Orientation                                 |  | bove.  | As above. | As above. | As above. |
| Disability   | who<br>prov  | tive.<br>students, should be<br>ly positive as<br>ides an opportunity<br>ise any issues. | As above. | As above. | As above. |
|  | barri<br>For s   | indirect link to<br>ers to promotion.<br>students wholly                                 |           |           |           |

| Beneficial impact only identified  | X                 | Will explore how to feedback through student committees e.g. Disability Committee.  |  |
|--|-------------------|---|--|
| Minor negative impact  | -                 | No, negative impact on staff with specific protected characteristics identified, however, future monitoring should occur to assess trends particularly in relation to sex/gender.   |  |
| Potential significant<br>negative impact (take<br>account of the impact itself;<br>the scale of the policy; and the<br>potential for reputational<br>damage) |                   | Full EIA required as soon as possible or before the<br>Policy is approved.<br>Proceed to Part 3 - Full Impact Assessment  |  |
| If a Full Assessment is require  | ed:               |   |  |
| Consider the timescale for cond<br>Committee meetings or other de  |                   | essment, e.g. in relation to external factors such as   |  |
| Record the details of those carry  | ying out the Full | EIA in Part 1.  |  |
| If no Full Assessment is requi   | red:              |   |  |
| How will this Policy be monitored?   |                   | The roles should be reviewed after 2 years to consider<br>impact on staff carrying them out and students. Gender<br>pay considerations regarding staff are monitored as part of<br>other equality work, therefore no separate monitoring<br>required. |  |
| When will the EIA be reviewed?   |                   | <b>Date:</b> 2 years after implementation, June/July 2014.  |  |
|  |                   |   |  |